The secret art of pedagogical alchemy: Creating joy, resistance and hope in neoliberal times

Craig Wood

Abstract
This paper reveals secrets. Like their Renaissance counterparts, pedagogical alchemists often work in secret networks as they struggle against dominant forces. Pedagogical alchemists seek to transform assemblages of neoliberal education policies, shifting enactments of such policies from replication of systemic hierarchies and oppressions towards teacher and student experiences of joy, hope, and resistance. The secret art of pedagogical alchemy adopts critical praxis research method that amplifies epistemological insights arising from teacher experience. This paper utilizes performative autoethnography and social fiction to interrogate the influence of socio-political context on the labour of an 8th grade school-teacher. The secret art of pedagogical alchemy locates the experiences of a pedagogical alchemist whose 8th grade history class includes a unit of work on Renaissance alchemist Isabella Cortese. The experiences are framed by globalised, neo-liberal education policy assemblage. Like the writings of Renaissance alchemist, Isabella Cortese, the voice of the 8th grade history pedagogical alchemist is performed in quatrains that are written in iambic pentameter.
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Introduction

This paper reveals secrets. Just like the clandestine practices of alchemists throughout different times and diverse places, I contend that there is an art that can be termed pedagogical alchemy which is conducted in secret, and it is an act of hope and resistance against dominant forces. I also contend that the practice of pedagogical alchemy can be as creative as it is secretive.

Contemporary pedagogical alchemists work covertly in schools throughout the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), including Australia, Canada, New Zealand, United Kingdom, and the USA; countries where teacher practice is subjected to globalised, neoliberal assemblages of education policies (Lewis et al., 2020; Rizvi et al., 2022). The impact of neoliberal policies on the teaching profession has been reported in the field of education research (Apple, 2017; Wrigley, 2006). Such policy assemblages typically result in narrowing of curriculum that privileges hegemonic knowledge, marginalises diversity, and ignores students' uniqueness. Hegemonic knowledge is positioned by dominant forces as universal truth and it is reified through curriculum and assessed using standardised tests (Apple, 2013a; Sahlberg, 2016; Wrigley, 2006). The same neoliberal assemblages of education policy are engaged in systematic stripping away of teacher professionalism and pedagogical innovation, while privileging low-risk approaches to teaching that result in learning that is decontextualized, impersonal and irrelevant (Biesta, 2015; Evers & Kneyber, 2016; Lewis, 2015). This approach to education devalues the richness of teacher-student interaction, stifles creativity, and erodes the joy of teaching and learning (Crowther & Boyne, 2016; Olson, 2009; Wood, 2018).

The purpose of this paper is to give voice to, and build community with, pedagogical alchemists. The paper raises awareness of creative and joyful approaches to teacher praxis that resist neoliberal education reforms and that alert teachers who are working in this space that they need not work in secret isolation. Terry Wrigley observes,

Many teachers persist with more enlightened methods, despite the bureaucratic overload or the nagging worry that inspectors from the Ofsted education watchdog might catch them out. (Wrigley, 2006, p. 9).

Joe Kincheloe similarly recognises,

The plethora of small changes made by critical teacher researchers around the world in individual classrooms may bring about far more authentic educational reform than the grandiose policies formulated in state or national capitals. (Kincheloe, 1991, p. 14).

*The secret art of pedagogical alchemy* joins Wrigley's (2006) call to rethink education, to empower collective voices in the teaching profession, to celebrate innovative models of practice, to develop communities of resistance, and to facilitate the courage to speak back to power. Berry (2016), Mitchie (2012), and Wrigley (2006) identify the power of collective teacher voices to disrupt neoliberal education reforms and reclaim the teaching profession. Reinserting creativity and joy into pedagogy is one way that schools can become enriched sites of student hope, where multiple voices are rehearsed and refined, resulting in strengthened local and global democratic institutions (bel hooks, 1994; Freire, 1993; Giroux, 2011; McLaren, 2007).
This paper is arranged in three sections, and it is written in two voices. This first section is written in my teacher-researcher voice that considers teacher resistance to neoliberal education reforms in the field of education. The second section continues to present my teacher-researcher voice and I discuss my research methodology that applies critical praxis research and performative autoethnography. I explain my choices that underpin this paper which include critical teacher reflection and using story and social fiction. The methodology section also discusses social fiction as research and introduces Malcolm as a character who performs a representation of pedagogical alchemy. The third section cross-fades between my teacher-researcher voice and the voice of Malcolm who provides a teacher praxis-based voice and that shows pedagogical alchemy as an act of teacher resistance in the field of education. Malcolm is a public school-teacher whose praxis is represented in a case study of pedagogical alchemy in teaching praxis with 12-13 year old students in a class of year 8 History. The class are studying Renaissance, and, like the writings of Renaissance alchemist Isabella Cortese, the voice of Malcolm is performed in quatrains that are written in iambic pentameter. Malcolm’s quatrains are arranged to develop an understanding of experiences of joy, resistance and hope in schools.

Method

I have approached this contribution to teacher resistance from my dual position as both school-teacher and teacher-researcher, which offers an epistemology of insiderness (Adams et al., 2015, p. 32) to the constructions of knowledge. My work in both roles is underpinned by a commitment to critical reflective practice that seeks to illuminate power and uncover hegemony (Brookfield, 2017; Tripp, 2012). In this paper, I have employed critical praxis research (CPR) as method that Kress (2011) posits is a scholarly pursuit for practitioners which combines theory and practice to challenge hegemony, and which engages with stories of resistance to empower practitioners’ voices. My CPR method draws on reflective narratives from my twenty years of experiences as a school-teacher and it pursues the broader CPR agenda to empower teacher voice in the field of education research.

My CPR can be located in the methodological field of performative autoethnography. Autoethnographic research is at the intersection of the personal and cultural; it looks inwards and outwards, and where the researcher assumes the dual roles of both the researcher and the researched (Ellis, 2009; Poulos, 2021). Performative autoethnographic writing recognises the material body as a site of such constructions of knowledge where traumas, joys, and everything between is re-membered (Denzin, 2018; Iosefo & Iosefo, 2020; Mackinlay, 2019; Pelias, 2019; Spry, 2016; Upshaw, 2017). In this paper, I am researched when I look inwards at representations of teacher praxis that are performed in Malcolm’s voice, and I am the researcher as I analyse Malcolm’s practice and look outwards to locate it in the field of education research.

My CPR method began with an outward looking critical review of publicly available documents that impact on school-teachers in Australia. Documents included The Mparntwe Education Declaration (Department of Education, Skills, and Employment, 2019); School Planning, Reviewing and Reporting Framework (Queensland Government, 2015); Sustainable Development Goals (UNESCO, 2015); Australian Curriculum History (ACARA, 2022a); Quality Schools, Quality Outcomes (Australian Government, 2016) and Robert Marzano’s Art and Science of Teaching (2007). I viewed these documents through a critical
lens that positioned them as neoliberal attacks which adversely impact the teaching profession.

While I was critically reviewing education policy documents as a teacher-researcher, I was also planning a number of units of work in my role as a secondary school-teacher of Arts, English and Humanities. At this time, I found an image of I segreti de la signora Isabella Cortese. I spent several hours looking at this image and searching for related texts and images that could inform a unit on Renaissance Italy. I was captivated by this image, and I was committed to embedding the image in unit of work for year 8 History.

From this point in time, I engaged in a process of reflective writing, described by Bolton (2014) as through-the-mirror writing. This process includes a six-minute write, whereby I engaged in free writing for six minutes without interruption. The intention of this process was to allow ideas to emerge, free of constraints of grammar, spelling, punctuation, even story structure. Bolton (2014) suggests that such a writing process can liberate ideas and stories that might be deeply hidden in layers of subconscious, and in so doing, explore issues and find new connections, thoughts, and ideas. I reshaped and restoried the data that emerged from my through-the-mirror writing, revisiting and reframing my praxis-based stories in the neoliberal education policy context of my work as a school-teacher.

As I continued to develop my through-the-mirror reflective process, themes and patterns emerged in the data. My reflections were revealing moments of joy, resistance, and hope that I applied as themes to classify the data. I then set about creatively representing my critical review of policy documents, critical reflection on my teaching units, and data arising from my through-the-mirror writing process, as the experiences of Malcolm.

Representation

In this paper, I have drawn on social fiction as method to create Malcolm. He is a fictional character that emerged in my through-the-mirror writing process. Malcolm represents my critical reflections on the assemblage of education policy and tensions that I have experienced as I seek to disrupt hegemony and enact critical curriculum. Leavy (2013) posits that fiction and non-fiction are not binary opposites, rather that contemporary qualitative research blurs the boundaries between the two in ways that enliven nuance and enable readers to understand complexity in phenomena. Leavy (2013) further identifies benefits of writing social fiction as research that include shifting both the reader and writer to see and think differently, to build empathy and raise awareness of phenomena. Denzin (2014) posits that ethnographic writing can only ever be a storied representation of the past. The result is that researchers can extend the ethics of applying pseudonyms to research participants, to de-identifying entire research contexts, while maintaining the truth of the story. Denzin’s idea can be seen in practice in The social fiction series, of which Patricia Leavy was the founding editor, and disseminate research using literary forms. An example of this work is Carl Leggo’s (2012) Sailing in a concrete boat which similarly blends poetry and prose to represent his experiences as a teacher, and Leggo represents his experiences as a school-teacher and teacher-researcher through a fictional character, Christian. Ron Pelias’ (2016) If truth be told also employs a range of literary devices, including poetry, to disrupt reader’s assumptions about what might be truth in his writing.
Further examples of research that is presented using literary devices include Bochner (2014), Clough (2002), and Ellis (2004). Each of these three works include an epilogue which discloses an understanding that some of the stories in their narratives might, or might not, have occurred, and that some of the characters might, or might not, be real or composites of real people. Nonetheless, the truth in their stories is that something like this happened. This reflexive acknowledgement of the writers does not detract the shared experiences of truth that the work offers the reader. The acknowledgement does not diminish the lifelike, believability, or plausibility of the work. Nor does the acknowledgement diminish the goal of the works, which is to evoke emotion in the reader and lead them to consider resistance to hegemony and injustice in their own world. Carolyn Ellis reflects,

For me, the question (is) not whether narratives convey precisely the way things actually were, but rather what narratives do, what consequences they have, and to what uses can they be put. (Ellis, 2009, p. 110).

Discussion
This section shows Malcolm’s performance of pedagogical alchemy as a creative act of teacher resistance that can liberate teachers and students from dominant forces. His quatrains give a voice to teacher experiences by revealing his approach to planning and teaching a year 8 unit of work on the Renaissance that is aligned to the Australian Curriculum’s History learning area at year 8 level (ACARA, 2022a). The official curriculum recognises that a unit of work about Renaissance Italy and the concentration of wealth and power in city-states, could include an investigation of “humanism, astrology, alchemy, the influence of ancient Greece and Rome” (bold for emphasis) (ACARA, 2022b).

Malcolm’s decision to focus on alchemy as a case study of resistance to concentrations of wealth and power in Renaissance Italy subverts the academic rationalist intent of the curriculum, which is preferred by the neoliberal agenda. Academic rationalist curriculum preserves the “knowledge, skills and values of prior generations,” (Babalola, 2015, p. 21), reifies “Western canon curriculum that has typically omitted or distorted the history, culture, and background of non-dominant culture groups” (Fickel et al., 2017, p. 102), and reduces learning in History to reciting names and achievements of the likes of Galileo and di Vinci (ACARA, 2022c; Nordtveit, 2016; Parkes, 2013). In contrast, Malcolm’s approach to curriculum aims to be self-actualising and critical (Eisner & Vallance, 1974; Marsh, 2009; Pinar, 2012).

As a pedagogical alchemist, Malcom seeks to use education to enrich and liberate the learner by emphasising personal growth and social awareness. In the first quatrain, below, Malcolm begins to reveal his own joy at finding an historical text that he plans to use as a self-actualising teaching resource. The historical text appears below and is the cover of a book, I secreti de la signora Isabella Cortese, written by Renaissance alchemist Isabella Cortese.
In this section, I cross-fade between my teacher-researcher voice and Malcolm's praxis voice that is performed in quatrains. My teacher-researcher's voice disrupts Malcolm's quatrains to locate his experiences in the field of education research, and that interpret and categorise Malcolm's experiences as joy, resistance, or hope. Joy is explored in three sections: the physical and cognitive responses to a joyful experience; enactments of curriculum that attempt to inspire joy in students; and joy as experienced as a collegial celebration of practice. Resistance is initially explored in two sections which recognise conflicting views on the purpose of schooling and reveals how this conflict manifests as enacted pedagogy. Resistance III appears as an epilogue to this paper. The category of hope positions pedagogical alchemy as future focussed, developing a critical and activist
citizenry, and that aims to deliver the promise of UNESCO’s (2017) goals to transform the world.

Joy I

An image, nearly five hundred years’ old
An alchemy book about making gold,
First printed, Venice, M-D-L-X-I
‘Bout Cortese’s secrets of alchemy.

You see, when I did first find this picture
What I felt was joy, as a school-teacher.
Joy of connecting with living hist’ry,
A cognitive joy and one that’s sens’ry.

The teacher can see visceral learning,
Inside I’m jumping, my stomach’s churning,
I’m planning critical pedagogy,
Creative learning, arts-based ‘ology.

Wonder where Cortese’ book is today,
Who took this photo? What secrets remain?
Wonder if all of it’s the secrets are fraud,
Reflect on the sources, challenge us all.

Imagine time - when this book was written.
Imagine place – the writing is Latin.
Here’s I secreti de la signora
Isabella Cortese’ secrets for you!

Malcolm’s joy is derived from finding a teaching resource, *I secreti de la signora Isabella Cortese*, that is beyond the prescribed teacher resources. He identifies joy pertaining to his identity as a “school-teacher”, his “connecting with living hist’ry”, and he describes the process as one that is both a “cognitive” and a “sens’ry” experience. Malcolm’s first
sighting of the image Cortese’ work triggers “joy, as a school-teacher”. He explains the physical sensation of, “inside I’m jumping, my stomach’s turning” because he instinctively sees possibilities for the type of teaching and learning that he values as a pedagogical alchemist. He explains his cognitive processes that unfold, including his plans for self-actualising, critical and creative learning. He can see opportunities to critically challenge the source as well as engaging students’ literacy skills as they decode the Latin and make sense of the text as English language learners. In addition to teacher joy, Malcolm experiences joy as a human being interacting with an historical artefact that is 500 years old, and he begins to wonder and imagine about the image of Cortese’ book on his screen.

The moment of joy that Malcolm experiences is a result of his continued pursuit of pedagogical alchemy. Malcolm’s determination to disrupt his teaching praxis from the constraints of hegemony that are enshrined in curriculum, resulted in the opportunity to begin to plan self-actualising critical and creative learning opportunities for his year 8 History class. The development of his praxis as a pedagogical alchemist, is further revealed as resistance in the following quatrain.

**Resistance I**

Here’s the piece that will frame my teaching –
Forget assessable data leaching.
Disrupt Their power, like Helen of Troy,
Empowered lovers are lovers of joy.

But here’s the rub and the reason I say
We need pedagogical alchemy.
The purpose of schooling’s more than They tell,
Their labour training myth must be dispelled.

Why would we train Their unemployment queues?
Their purpose of schooling's all just a rouse.
Yes, reading, writing, arithmetic
BUT to make futures more democratic.

We need to commit to lifelong learning,
Quality living data'll start turning.
That's a threat to hierarchies of power,
Those who occupy ivory towers.
For democratised futures we must fight
Our present students, we must enlight’n.
And that means creating moments of joy,
Secret resistance to raise hoi polloi.

Malcolm’s teacher resistance arises from an alternative view on the purpose of schooling to that pursued by those who advocate neoliberal education reforms. Malcolm positions the two purposes of schooling as binary opposites whereby “They” and “Their” represents the neoliberal purpose of schooling as being one of training a future workforce that will generate profits for capital. This is underpinned by a steadfast commitment to individualism and competition that unleashes market forces throughout schools’ education, which result in entrenched inequity that rewards socio-economic and political privilege of individuals while marginalising diversity (Baltodano, 2012; Connell, 2013; Hill, 2010). Terry Wrigley observes,

> Capitalism has always had a problem with education. Since the Industrial Revolution and the early days of mass schooling for working class children, the ruling class needed the skills of future workers but was terrified that they might become articulate, knowledgeable, and independent-minded. (Wrigley, 2006, p.1)

In contrast, Malcolm pursues a purpose of schooling that seeks “democratised futures” that “disrupt Their power”. He rejects the view that the purpose of education ought to be vocational training, or “labour training”, as “all just a rouse”. Models of democratic education and critical pedagogy have been posited in the field education literature and include the work of bell hooks (1994), Paulo Friere (1993), Henry Giroux (2011), Peter McLaren (2005), and Terry Wrigley (2006). McLaren (2005) posits that critical pedagogy seeks to “understand the mechanisms of oppression imposed by the established order” (p. 6). In the case of learning about Renaissance alchemist Isabella Cortese, learning could include a critical investigation of the social, cultural, economic and political features of Renaissance Italy (ACARA, 2022d), as well as relationships between rulers and ruled (ACARA, 2022e). For pedagogical alchemists, critical pedagogy also means maintaining critical awareness of the impact of neoliberal educational reforms on teacher praxis and student learning. In both cases, critical pedagogy seeks to build knowledgeable, articulate, and independent-minded citizenry, which will “raise hoi-polloi.”

Hope I

What’s school data explicit agendas
Measuring last year’s ‘gainst next year’s trendings?
Then issuing pedagogical rules
Irrelevant to this year’s kids in school.
Do we represent students with pie charts?
Do we think of our students as bar graphs?
How can the act of teaching be reduced
To decontextual numbers obtuse?

What do I want for my students to know?
The power of love. The power of hope.
The power of people’s power of speech.
These are some powers my classes beseech.

These aren’t the powers the Power’d call for.
Their power’s emboldened by data walls.
Statistical celebrations of privilege
Muscling up power – like Popeye’s spinach.

To critically challenge the Power’d’s game
Must be a secret, lest I’ll be disclaimed.
But it’s my students that I’m struggling for,
Their hope; their future; their voice; and much more.

Malcolm’s concluded Resistance I with a secret agenda “to raise hoi-polloi”. Then, Hope I reveals some of a pedagogical alchemist’s approach to teaching that has a future focus of developing students’ voice and, in so doing, inspiring hope. Malcolm rejects representing his students as decontextualised data on a bar graph or pie chart, preferring instead to focus on showing students their own power of love, hope, and speech. This approach is supported by Olson (2009), that sees teachers and school leaders resisting an approach to teaching that sees them “spiralling into a frenzy of uncritical, mindless test score jitters” (p. 6), suggesting instead that learning ought to “inspire transcendent joy” (p.16).

Theopedia (2016) frames the notion of joy from a Christian standpoint, offering that, “joy is a state of mind and an orientation of the heart”, that this can be likened to the Greek word Χαρά (Chara), or “calm delight”. This framing of the notion of joy, can be juxtaposed with notions of hope that are framed by bell hooks (2003), Daniels (2010), and Freire (1994). Joy is located in the present, whereas hope looks to utopian futures. Joy is a personal, internal response to our place in a moment in the world around us, whereas hope is an outward pursuit of transformation for community. From this understanding,
and returning to Malcolm’s quatrains, the role of the pedagogical alchemist must be to transform mercury, those heavy burdens of the neoliberal generated experiences in education, into gold, that manifest as experiences of calm delight. Pedagogical alchemists achieve this by challenging hegemony in the curriculum and by inviting multiple knowledges and perspectives. This leads to students creating personal connections with the curriculum and making learning personally meaningful. Such learning privileges the richness of the teacher-student relationship over neoliberal demands for data (Renner, 2009).

Malcolm asserts that empowered rejections of data are not “the powers the Power’d call for,” however there is some alignment with the overarching Australian goals for schooling. Australia’s Alice Springs (Mparntwe) Education Declaration contains education goals for young Australians that were agreed to by the Australian federal government and all state and territory governments. By sequencing moments of joy, pedagogical alchemists can create hopeful futures, and such futures are embedded nationally in The Mparntwe Declaration as well as globally in UNESCO’s (2015) Sustainable Development Goal 4. Malcolm returns to UNESCO’s goals in Hope III, and his approach to enacting The Mparntwe Declaration is considered below, in Joy II.

**Joy II**

Teaching ought not be by remote control
But our national educational goals
Listed in the Mparntwe Declaration
A blueprint for the Australian nation.

Preamble in Mparntwe Declaration
Sets out the purpose of education.
Building democracy, and equity,
Social cohesion, and prosperity.

Australia’s national goals for schools
Establish the holistic teaching rules.
The unit aim’s verbs are where I focus
My pedagogical hocus-pocus.

Imagine learning inspired by verbs
Like ‘Wonder at’, ‘Marvel’, ‘Dream’ and such words.
Spectacular verbs to enliven joy
And transform learning from soulless alloys.

Revealing power in Renaissance times,
Transferable to our own paradigm,
The students will wonder, or marvel at,
Or argue, discuss, about plutocrats.

In Joy II, Malcolm proposes an interpretation of the *Mparntwe Declaration on Educational Goals for Young Australians* that is inspired by the verbs that underpin his lesson planning. In applying cognitive verbs like “wonder at” and “marvel”, Malcolm continues to reveal secrets of pedagogical alchemy that create joy. He refers to his process as his “pedagogical hocus-pocus”, and it contrasts with taxonomies of education objectives posited by Bloom (1956), and Kendall and Marzano (2006). The latter use verbs like “classify”, and “investigate”, resulting in students learning privileged, hegemonic knowledge. Such verbs accord with the academic rationalist model of curriculum, described by Freire (1970) as the banking model of education that likens students to vessels that are filled with teacher knowledge, and that Malcolm opines is “soulless”. In contrast, Malcolm’s “pedagogical hocus-pocus” becomes joyful because students “wonder” and “marvel”, taking time to imagine their own internal response to a moment in time and place, and then to find ways to communicate their ideas and to develop their voice by arguing and discussing. This approach strengthens citizen’s participation, and thereby strengthens democracy, that is one of the aims of *The Mparntwe Declaration*.

**Resistance II**

But, “Teach like this, Malcolm,” – Marzano’s way,
Or D.I., or Flemming, or John Hattie.
“This is the framework we use in this school”
Leaders make choices, not teachers at all.

So now, “do this Malcolm. Teach it this way.
Get it done, or the whole school you betray.
Remember our teaching staff is a team,
Use all of these resources. It’s Pearson’s dream.”

But I don’t subscribe to powers that be
Nor use the resources from overseas.
I do my own planning for my own class
I work in secret, avoiding fracas.

Translate these words, it’s a lit’racy task,
What’s “I secreti, signora?” I ask.
And we’re away. We are having success.
Pedagogical alchemy’s the best!

Successful learners create, innovate,
Think deeply as self, and groups motivate.
They are citizens who are ethical
Responsible glob’ly and as locals.

In Resistance II, Malcolm identifies some of the dominant forces that his practice resists that include instruction of how to teach, as well as what resources to use in his teaching. Specific authors of pedagogical frameworks are identified including Robert Marzano (Art and Science of Teaching), DI (Direct Instruction), John Fleming (Explicit Instruction), and John Hattie (Visible Learning), and global edu-business Pearson is identified as a provider of teacher resources. Malcolm demonstrates resistance to subscribing to these powers, instead trusting his own professional knowledge and capacity to plan learning that will engage his own class.

Hope II

Cortese: Woman of science and learning.
An alchemist; she is nonconforming.
But how is she privileged? How’s she oppressed?
Who benefits from her written address?

Such critical questions must be applied
T’all learning resources that I supply.
From such discussion we learn to reflect,
We learn to interpret with dialect.

Global citizens understand their place,
They know their privilege, their debts they can trace.
When they see injustice they know they can
Reject it as truth, start transformations.

They know when they work with others they can
Be more than workers, be strong citizens.
Be more than themselves, work with love and care
Challenge oppression to make the world fair.

The power’d view curriculum as fixed,
See students as passive, not activists.
They fear fact’ries of capable workers,
Organised peoples who are change makers.

Hope II continues to reveal the purpose of schooling that pedagogical alchemists pursue. Earlier, Malcolm observed the future focused nature of hope. In Hope II, Malcolm further reveals his aims to develop students understanding their place as activist global citizens. Pedagogical alchemy students recognise their own privilege and oppression, but then to “be more than themselves”, rejecting injustice and transforming the world, as “organised peoples who are change makers.” The approach to curriculum that Malcolm proposes in Hope II is a response to the challenge posed by Michael Apple (2013b) *Can education change society?* and it is a representation of a type of radical and critical pedagogy proposed by McLaren (2007). Apple (2013b) rejects romantic notions of education leading to change, and asserts that only through a concerted act of solidarity and struggle can there be hope for change. Peter McLaren offers,

> Critical educators are in the process of creating their own dreams of a world that is arching towards social and economic justice and can see those dreams reflected in the mirror of Freire’s dream, one that is inspired by a hope born out of political struggle and a belief in the ability of the oppressed to transform the world from ‘what is’ to ‘what it could be’, to reimagine, re-enchant, and recreate the world rather than adopt to it. (McLaren, 2007, pp. 302-3).

**Joy III**

Be it led to gold, or water to wine,
Creating joy in neo-lib’ral time.
Transformative philosophers know
A grasp of science with self is the go.
To truly acquire alchemy’s secrets,
Pseudo-Democritus says the trick is,
Integrate physika kai mystika
(That's science or nat’ral, with the secret).

In education that might even be
Evidence-based data, but used lightly.
Collecting data on student progress
Thought through by teachers’ collegial process.

Joy is valuing multiple voices
And trusting teachers’ profession’l choices.
Alchemy adds the exoteric world
Esoteric practice with data furled.

And let’s not rule out the joy of surprise
Secreti, mystika learning excites.
Discovery. Play. Fidgeting around
Allows personal meaning to be found.

In writing about Joy, Malcolm describes his own reflections of joy as a teacher, first by writing about discovering Isabella Cortese’s *I secreti de la signora*, then, in Joy II, by the joy that his cognitive verbs attempt to inspire in his classes. In Joy III, Malcolm considers a role that data could play in pedagogical alchemy when it is used to support collegial processes, as opposed to being privileged in teacher practice. Malcolm attributes this synergy to Pseudo-Democritus’ notion of “physika kai mystika”, that he translates as natural and secret. Martelli (2013) notes that Pseudo-Democritus was a Greek philosopher and alchemist, whose work pre-dates Isabella Cortese by around 1500 years. As a pedagogical alchemist, Malcolm has an understanding of data related to student progress, and he uses this science to inform his teaching. However, he rejects pedagogy that shifts his practice from a joyful experience of teaching and learning towards experience that creates data for data's sake.
Hope III

You know what hope is? It’s a better way,
Making tomorrow better than today.
So we have to dream, have to imagine,
From this standpoint, our practice is fashioned.

We work in a school somewhere on the globe
And we ought to look towards UNESCO,
Whose goals and targets on education
Usurp agendas of corporations.

Together we’re working to change futures:
Be more than Their labour or consumers,
Understanding moral obligations,
To improve living in every nation.

Education, it’s UNESCO’s goal four:
Seeks learning opportunities for all.
Gender equity, it’s universal
Pre-primary, then primary, through to high school.

Quality learning, that’s equitable,
Youth literacy, again: universal,
Vocat’nal skills for a decent earning,
Opportunities for lifelong learning.

Malcolm’s three quatrains about hope develop from curriculum experiences that are future focused, in Hope I, and that position young people as activist local and global citizens, in Hope II. In Hope III, Malcolm positions education as future focused and locates his practice in the global context of UNESCO’s goals for sustainable development. UNESCO’s fourth goal is to “ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all” (UNESCO, 2015). In his final writing on hope, Malcolm interprets the intent of UNESCO’s goal for education as being to improve equity
of access to education, and to improve living conditions, rather than reducing education to merely benefit “Their labour or consumers”. He does acknowledge the goal includes references to training vocational skills, however this ought to support target 4.4 that seeks “Relevant skills for decent work” (UNESCO, 2015).

Responding to Wrigley’s call to rethink education, the purpose of this paper has been to build community by giving voice to the practice of pedagogical alchemy. That said, I recognise the hazards that alchemists face as they resist dominant forces in the pursuit of joy and hope. To that end, I offer Malcolm’s final quatrains as an epilogue to this chapter. As Isabella Cortese might have requested readers of her own book, I recognise the risks of our work, and end with a message to my fellow pedagogical alchemists.

**Resistance III**

Dear Craig, and your researcher-writer’s voice,
Here’s a caution from Malcolm’s teacher voice.
There’s a danger inherent in this piece,
Interpreting story, uncritically.

“See, it’s true,” powerful voices might claim,
“Look at his classes, his teaching maintains
Quality teaching, quality results.
All that’s needed is quality adults.”

This sets up Their view, that teaching’s to blame
For declining standards in PISA’s game.
That’s not why I speak up, nor why you write,
Don’t let my quatrains embolden their fight.

Which teachers replicate privilege in youth?
Teachers measured ‘gainst Their singular truths
They want curriculum hegemony
Dominant, fundament’list zealotry.

My caution’s this, in my final quatrains,
Power wants dominant voices maintained.
So, friends, I ask, like Cortese’ request  
Burn these quatrains when you’ve learned their secrets.
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